

JS-6

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 UNIVERSAL FREIGHT
12 SOLUTIONS,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 DGL GROUP LTD., et al.,

16 Defendants.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Case No. 2:23-cv-01822-FLA (AGRx)

**ORDER DISMISSING ACTION
[DKT. 65]**


1 On February 15, 2024, Defendants DGL Group Ltd., Mark Nakash, and Ezra
2 Zaafarani (“Defendants”) filed a letter indicating the parties have reached a settlement
3 in principle. Dkt. 65.

4 Having considered Defendants’ letter to the court, and finding good cause
5 therefor, the court hereby ORDERS:

- 6 1. All deadlines governing this action are VACATED.
- 7 2. The court DISMISSES the action without prejudice. Defendants’
8 Motions to Dismiss (Dkts. 41, 42) are DENIED as MOOT. The court
9 retains jurisdiction to vacate this Order and to reopen the action within
10 ninety (90) days from the date of this Order, provided any request by a
11 party to do so shall make a showing of good cause as to why the
12 settlement has not been completed within the 90-day period, what further
13 settlement processes are necessary, and when the party making such a
14 request reasonably expects the process to be concluded.
- 15 3. This Order does not preclude the filing of a stipulation of dismissal with
16 prejudice pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41, which does not require approval
17 of the court. Such stipulation shall be filed within the aforementioned
18 90-day period, or by such later date ordered by the court pursuant to a
19 stipulation by the parties that conforms to the requirements of a showing
20 of good cause stated above.

21
22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23
24 Dated: February 16, 2024

25
26 
27 FERNANDO L. AENLLE-ROCHA
28 United States District Judge